## Accountability Status Rankings 2011 - 2012 School Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>2011 QDI</th>
<th>2012 QDI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DeSoto County - HP</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi County - AW</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Pike - LP</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Valley - AW</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Tallahatchie - S</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston - AW</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln County - S</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County - AW</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson County - HP</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee County - LP</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madison County - LP</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith County - LP</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union City - LP</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tallahatchie - S</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tippah - S</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County - LP</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Point - LW</td>
<td>2011 QDI</td>
<td>2012 QDI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Accountability Status Rankings

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)

### Accountability Status Rankings 2009 - 2010

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)

### Accountability Status Rankings 2008 - 2009

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)

### Accountability Status Rankings 2007 - 2008

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)

### Accountability Status Rankings 2006 - 2007

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)

### Accountability Status Rankings 2005 - 2006

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)

### Accountability Status Rankings 2004 - 2005

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)

### Accountability Status Rankings 2003 - 2004

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)

### Accountability Status Rankings 2002 - 2003

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)

### Accountability Status Rankings 2001 - 2002

**Legend**
- STAR DISTRICT (4 Districts)
- HIGH PERFORMANCE (28 Districts)
- SUCCESSFUL (50 Districts)
- ACADEMIC WATCH (43 Districts)
- LOW PERFORMANCE (25 Districts)
- FAILING (2 Districts)